

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



## Quarterly Report Of LUST Recovery Act Measures And Jobs Reported October 1 – December 31, 2012

### Where does EPA get the LUST Recovery Act performance measures data?

Four times each year, EPA collects data from states and territories regarding Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST) Recovery Act money performance measures. In addition, states and territories report the number of jobs created or retained to the Office of Management and Budget. Performance data include: site assessments initiated, site assessments completed, cleanups initiated, cleanups completed, and other assessment and cleanup activities.

### Is LUST Recovery Act work drawing to a close?

During the quarterly period ending December 2012, three states continued work using LUST Recovery Act money. One of the three states completed its work. The remaining two states, which are scheduled to complete their work by March 2013, will continue to report. As work is completed, the accomplishments states report each quarter will decrease. Overall, 52 of the 54 states and territories that received LUST Recovery Act money have completed their work.

### What accomplishments have been achieved?

LUST Recovery Act Performance Measures	October 1 – December 31, 2012 Reporting Period	Cumulative February 17, 2009 – December 31, 2012
Site assessments initiated	1	1,652
Site assessments completed	6	2,416
Cleanups initiated	3	2,263
Cleanups completed	2	2,451

Cumulative As Of December 31, 2012	
Sites where LUST Recovery Act money has been spent to achieve one or more of the performance measures	3,807
Additional sites where LUST Recovery Act money contributed to activities, but have not achieved one of the eight measures	4,030*
<b>Total number of sites which benefitted from LUST Recovery Act money</b>	<b>7,837</b>

\*This number may decrease as sites achieve a LUST Recovery Act performance measure.

### Where has LUST Recovery Act money been invested?

States and territories have discretion to spend LUST Recovery Act money on LUST eligible projects in various ways. For example, some states invest the money for assessments to get an indication of the degree and extent of contamination at many small sites. Other states focus efforts on a few difficult sites. Therefore, a small number associated with any particular performance measure can represent a significant amount of work and benefit,



## ***Quarterly Report Of LUST Recovery Act Measures And Jobs Reported October 1 – December 31, 2012***

---

just as a large number can. In addition, states and territories choose to use LUST Recovery Act money either directly at the site or indirectly by funding state personnel to oversee activities.

### **What are the LUST Recovery Act measures?**

EPA defined the LUST Recovery Act measures in its June 2009 *Guidance To Regions For Implementing The LUST Provision Of The American Recovery And Reinvestment Act Of 2009* (see page 7), which is available at [www.epa.gov/oust/eparecovery/lustproguide.pdf#page=9](http://www.epa.gov/oust/eparecovery/lustproguide.pdf#page=9). EPA issued additional guidance and lessons learned, also available on EPA's LUST Recovery Act Program Guidance website: [www.epa.gov/oust/eparecovery/lustproguide.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oust/eparecovery/lustproguide.htm) (see Recipient Reporting Guidance).

### **Where does EPA make previous reports available?**

EPA's website [www.epa.gov/oust/eparecovery/perfmeas.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oust/eparecovery/perfmeas.htm) provides the current report, as well as historical reports beginning with the July 1 – September 30, 2010 period. The most recent report is listed first.

**For more information**, contact Steven McNeely, EPA's Office of Underground Storage Tanks, at [mcneely.steven@epa.gov](mailto:mcneely.steven@epa.gov) or 703-603-7164.

**LUST Recovery Act Performance Measures**  
**Cumulative State And Tribal By Region Through 12/31/2012**

		Site Assessments Initiated			Site Assessments Completed			Cleanups Initiated			Cleanups Completed			Activities Included In Measures*	Other Activities Not Included In Eight Measures**
Region	State	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total		
1	CT	7	2	9	12	0	12	6	0	6	1	0	1	12	0
	MA	9	0	9	9	0	9	9	0	9	3	0	3	14	0
	ME	8	0	8	8	0	8	7	0	7	7	0	7	12	0
	NH	7	0	7	7	0	7	4	0	4	5	0	5	10	22
	RI	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	2	6
	VT	32	3	35	8	1	9	2	6	8	0	5	5	35	0
<b>Region 1 Total</b>		<b>63</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>28</b>
2	NJ	13	0	13	0	0	0	6	0	6	3	0	3	16	0
	NY	16	0	16	16	0	16	60	0	60	60	0	60	62	0
	PR	3	0	3	3	0	3	2	1	3	1	1	2	3	0
	VI	0	7	7	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	8	0
	Tribal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Region 2 Total</b>		<b>32</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>1</b>
3	DC	3	0	3	2	1	3	2	0	2	1	0	1	3	0
	DE	2	0	2	4	0	4	5	0	5	2	0	2	7	10
	MD	48	0	48	47	0	47	30	0	30	30	0	30	66	21
	PA	20	0	20	36	0	36	20	0	20	11	0	11	50	25
	VA	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	4	0	4	6	6
	WV	29	0	29	34	0	34	29	0	29	20	0	20	41	1
<b>Region 3 Total</b>		<b>102</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>63</b>
4	AL	14	0	14	21	0	21	11	0	11	8	0	8	26	7
	FL	17	0	17	16	0	16	15	0	15	1	0	1	17	0
	GA	18	0	18	17	0	17	21	0	21	22	0	22	30	2
	KY	0	716	716	0	1342	1342	0	1310	1310	0	1218	1218	1,566	1,088
	MS	23	0	23	25	0	25	25	2	27	17	2	19	39	9
	NC	77	0	77	90	0	90	64	11	75	23	12	35	136	0
	SC	35	0	35	80	0	80	36	0	36	29	0	29	87	3
	TN	24	0	24	12	0	12	29	0	29	11	0	11	42	10
<b>Region 4 Total</b>		<b>208</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>924</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>1,342</b>	<b>1,603</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>1,323</b>	<b>1,524</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>1,232</b>	<b>1,343</b>	<b>1,943</b>	<b>1,119</b>
5	IL	40	3	43	30	4	34	31	0	31	24	4	28	50	0
	IN	14	0	14	23	0	23	32	1	33	22	1	23	34	1
	MI	0	0	0	3	0	3	2	1	3	2	1	3	3	13
	MN	13	0	13	34	0	34	12	12	24	3	12	15	53	11
	OH	34	0	34	31	0	31	30	0	30	25	0	25	34	0
	WI	3	0	3	6	0	6	12	0	12	4	0	4	18	6
	Tribal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
<b>Region 5 Total</b>		<b>104</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>34</b>
6	AR	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10
	LA	0	156	156	10	152	162	8	75	83	2	233	235	413	0
	NM	2	0	2	17	0	17	3	0	3	6	0	6	28	1
	OK	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
	TX	1	0	1	72	0	72	72	0	72	67	0	67	97	131
	Tribal	4	0	4	4	0	4	4	0	4	4	0	4	4	0
<b>Region 6 Total</b>		<b>7</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>312</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>142</b>

\*This is the number of sites where one or more activities of the eight measures – direct and indirect site assessments initiated, site assessments completed, cleanups initiated, and cleanups completed – have been reported and are cumulative through the end of the reporting period noted above.

\*\*LUST Recovery Act money contributed to these activities, but as of this reporting period, these sites have not achieved one of the eight measures.

**LUST Recovery Act Performance Measures**  
**Cumulative State and Tribal By Region Through 12/31/2012**

		Site Assessments Initiated			Site Assessments Completed			Cleanups Initiated			Cleanups Completed			Activities Included In Measures*	Other Activities Not Included In Eight Measures**
Region	State	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total	Direct	Indirect	Total		
7	IA	4	0	4	9	0	9	3	6	9	13	6	19	21	19
	KS	35	0	35	32	0	32	22	1	23	7	1	8	36	0
	MO	32	0	32	4	0	4	14	0	14	2	0	2	33	27
	NE	12	0	12	49	0	49	52	1	53	45	1	46	56	16
	Tribal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0
<b>Region 7 Total</b>		<b>83</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>62</b>
8	CO	22	0	22	18	0	18	18	0	18	11	0	11	28	12
	MT	4	0	4	4	0	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	6	0
	SD	31	0	31	22	0	22	30	0	30	22	0	22	40	1
	UT	2	0	2	2	0	2	9	0	9	0	0	0	9	0
	WY	2	28	30	2	0	2	2	0	2	2	34	36	64	664
	Tribal	1	0	1	10	1	11	1	1	2	5	5	10	12	7
<b>Region 8 Total</b>		<b>62</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>684</b>
9	AZ	6	0	6	7	0	7	22	0	22	7	0	7	24	3
	CA	5	0	5	2	0	2	4	0	4	1	307	308	317	1756
	GU	10	0	10	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0
	HI	4	17	21	4	18	22	4	17	21	4	40	44	58	98
	MP	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	3	0
	NV	6	0	6	6	0	6	4	0	4	4	0	4	6	0
	Tribal	6	0	6	10	0	10	21	0	21	2	8	10	15	29
<b>Region 9 Total</b>		<b>38</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>374</b>	<b>433</b>	<b>1,886</b>
10	AK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3
	ID	6	9	15	3	7	10	6	0	6	0	0	0	18	0
	OR	0	0	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	9	0	9	12	6
	WA	5	0	5	6	0	6	5	0	5	1	0	1	9	0
	Tribal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2
<b>Region 10 Total</b>		<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>National Total</b>		<b>710</b>	<b>942</b>	<b>1,652</b>	<b>888</b>	<b>1,528</b>	<b>2,416</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>1,446</b>	<b>2,263</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>1,893</b>	<b>2,451</b>	<b>3,807</b>	<b>4,030</b>

\*This is the number of sites where one or more activities of the eight measures – direct and indirect site assessments initiated, site assessments completed, cleanups initiated, and cleanups completed – have been reported and are cumulative through the end of the reporting period noted above.

\*\*LUST Recovery Act money contributed to these activities, but as of this reporting period, these sites have not achieved one of the eight measures.